

United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/518,699	03/03/2000	Martin S Berger	B-66383	B-66383 7109	
7:	590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER		
Kenneth R. Glaser GARDERE WYNNE SEWELL LLP			RUDY, ANDREW J		
1601 Elm Stree			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Suite 3000 Dallas, TX 75201-4761			3627 DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

				SW			
	Application No.		Applicant(s)				
	09/518,699		BERGER, MARTIN S				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	Andrew Joseph Ru	dy	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14	<u>4 July 2003</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL 2b)⊠ 1	This action is non-fina	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-42</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
 Certified copies of the priority docume 	nts have been receiv	ed.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	•	(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/518,699

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's July 14, 2003 Appeal Brief has been received. The Final Office Action of January 15, 2003 is withdrawn pursuant to the discovery of other prior art. The Examiner regrets this, but the action is viewed as reasonable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goldhaber et al, US 5,794,210.

Goldhaber discloses a method of targeting confidential intellectual property comprising providing a consumer station 104, an industry station software agent 110 and a financial clearinghouse station 108 that may send survey information, e.g. claims 24, 56. Goldhaber does not explicitly disclose a host station. It would appear inherent that the clearinghouse station 108 functions as a host station to determine interest in the selected intellectual property in Goldhaber.

Art Unit: 3627

Nonetheless, to have provided for the clearinghouse station 108 to function as a host station to determine interest in the selected intellectual property in Goldhaber would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. It is noted that the particular type of intellectual property selected would be a design choice as thousands, or more, of survey type information is common knowledge within the art, as is providing an Internet site and processor backed work stations remote from the Internet site.

4. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday thru Friday, 7:30 a.m until 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Robert Olszewski can be reached on (703) 308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Anchew Jugal Roby